

March 29, 2015 (#1496)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Childhood's Loss, Meet the New BOSS"

© Alan Watt March 29, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 29, 2015 (Exempting
Music and Literary Quotes)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on March 29, 2015. As always I hope, I really do hope that you're pulling through into the spring, which appears in the occasional place south of the border, more than where I am here up in Canada. Last night in fact it hit on the centigrade scale about -25, or 25 below. Hopefully I'm going get some spring eventually too but we're pretty well going into April and it's not really on the horizon that much at the moment. Well, we're going through global warming, right, that's the reason we're so cold up here.

Now, we're living, as I've mentioned so many times, in such a massively planned psychological muddle basically, by the big forces above us that have trained us since child birth, that they have influenced us in so many ways that most folk are completely unaware of in fact, primarily through, part of your initial indoctrination

and education, apart from that it's taken up by media and also by entertainment, which is the greatest way to alter behavior of all. In fact, we mimic what we see. We even mimic the attitudes of the actors we like, or who are portrayed as likable in special movies and so on. We copy in other words, we're very much into mimicking. Children are more apt and you'll see it more openly in children with the language and everything else they pick up on television, a lot of it which you don't like in fact. But all of this is understood by those who put it out in the first place because the culture industry calls themselves just that, amongst themselves, the *culture* industry, not the entertainment industry.

At the very top you have the economic system and those who run at the top the economic system. There are people, a few individual families in fact that slush billions every day into the world economy. They slush these funds around all over the place and everyone else follows suit, because they read the newspapers, who's investing in what and so on. And the little guys, again, always emulate what they see, even though it's very misleading because it's a game too where those at the top always get out in time and divest the money and put it into another option before they crash a certain market and so on.

But that's the name of the game in this system. There's nothing fair about it. It's not designed to be fair. Those at the top will actually... really, put out the old idea of social Darwinism and survival of the fittest, that developed out of that Darwinistic theory, and they believe that they have the right to use cunning and so on. They say that all is fair in love and war, but it's also all is fair in economic business as well. And business is not a very nice thing because behind all the smiles of the business suits when they meet together to drink their brandy and so on and sip wine, there's always this competition amongst themselves. Vast egos. Many of them actually are psychopathic, there's no doubt about it at all. Look at some of the statements that some of the top of them, CEOs and so on come out with.

And the reason they get to the top is that they know how to play the game. They know what the game is. They can emulate emotion, affection and so on, and fire you just in the same breath actually or a second later. They don't really have emotions as we think of it. They have the emotion of great happiness when they win something personally, and they have that high they get when they succeed in putting other competition under. That's real business and that's just the way it really is. Competition is taught. It used to be more blatant in the schooling system where they would grade you in classes in fact and then you'd compete and compete within the classes for the little gold stars that you'd get on your little cardboard form or booklet or whatever or on the wall. And that's the system, you're trained at an early age to compete. Athletics is just another part of it, to compete, compete, compete, and so were the school exams as well.

So we live in a competitive society. Is it natural? Well, some parts of it may kind of natural because I think young guys in a primitive society, as they call natural societies, primitive, and tribal societies, which compete to an extent. But they would also form their natural pecking orders where one person who might be the best hunter or the best runner or whatever would have compassion and feel part of the rest of the group. If he didn't and he tried to take over as leader of the tribe or whatever there was enough people to depose him. When he gets an army behind him, through taxation than he can pay an army money, then you're really in

trouble because then he can stay in power, then intermarry with some other psychopathic leader's daughter of another tribe. That's how history has been made in fact, unfortunately.

Now, I've mentioned before that when you have psychopaths in a system of psychopathic control, which is never humane, sometimes there's a spill over and more wealth allowed to the people at the bottom in so-called prosperous times, or when they have big plans afoot that may be a few years hence they'll bring on a war, and folk are happier prior to the war and tend to go into the Army, the Air Force, the Navy and so on to keep what they think it's theirs, their right to have a good prosperous life. Once they are running out of wars of course they take all the goodies away from you, and they don't really need you to be happy anymore, because they can use you in other ways.

Today we're in a scientifically controlled society and they don't have to keep you happy at all for that matter. In fact, they have found in their neuroscience and so on, if they keep you *on edge all the time* they can condition you *all the faster*. Because you can't grasp hold of something for long enough, that you call normality, to hang onto. And normality develops over time, it becomes the culture and so on. If they can keep them in a stampede they can shape and direct the direction of the stampede according to their planning quite easily. And that's where we are today under the anti-terrorism and so on, global economics, bank failures are threatened all the time. We have bank bail-ins planned because all of the countries, all of the first world countries have signed on to the IMF and the World Bank with their directions to, have treaties on all this, that they'll all do bank bail-ins where they will literally take all the deposits out of the savers' accounts and so on.

So we're living in a very crisis ridden society, and then they have the threat of terrorism constantly on the go, which can only end up, with the aid of technology as we have it today, into a worse system than you had in the East Germany's Stasi police. And the Stasi police, they put a lot of good documentaries out on it and some good movies that were based on fact, written by participants in fact, or victims of the Stasi, both actually took part in making some of them. They show you how innocent people, lots of them, thousands of them, every year, had their homes bugged. They could bug a home entirely by going in and within 10 minutes they had many, many bugs put all over the place. They could record everything that happened; they had little fisheye cameras and so on. Today they don't need that because you buy all the equipment that surveillance needs. You buy it thinking it's of a benefit to yourself, it's much, much easier. I'll be touching on some of that tonight. That's the way it is.

Now, I'm going to mention right off the bat here too that at this time of year, and all through the winter, I always get lots of people emailing me with problems and depressions and so on. And these are from people who have a higher understanding of what's happening in the world and why things are happening and as always they find no solution out of it. And you can't simply give simple solutions to a system which is total. That's why I liken it to **The Matrix** in fact because it's very, very much like the matrix system portrayed in the movies as far as realities go, and with their false endings, even within the movie. You think you have arrived, that the person is going to give you the answer, you've arrived at the right place only to find out there's another compartment or level above you or

beneath you or to the side of you and so on. That's how the system is. It's a very complex system.

And people get depressed in the winter, especially when it's a bad winter like we have now, and the last few years in fact. There are fewer jobs as well, people are being laid off and unemployed or working so many part-time jobs they are burned out. Many folk are lonely of course, naturally, because if you are into trying to understand what's happening in the world, you're getting the patterns, you see how it fits together, it's very difficult to talk to people who are in their programming. They have been well programed from childhood onwards in fact and they have really, they take the world as it is presented to them and everything in the world and all aspects of it, the way the media, the television and so on presents it to them. They take it that way. They think, *well naturally, it's a natural way to take it*. That's why it works so well for those who run the world. Why would you think that you're being deceived? Why would you think that? In a natural society why would you think you were born into a form of deception? And being trained not to see what's there? So it's a natural thing for them to cling onto that, *I must be right, and all authorities must be right, all authority figures must be right, because they all say they are*. You see. And all their friends do too, who are also under the influence of the world being presented to them and they have taken it as the presentation is given.

That's the hard part for people. And I tell them, when you're really getting depressed about it all, pull back. Pull back. Don't overwhelm yourself with what seems to be negativity because negativity will destroy you and put you into a massive depression. You can't allow that to happen to yourselves. Pull back from listening to the bad news. Even the show that I do, pull back from it. And relax, allow your mind to relax. Concentrate on the things you must get done to survive and get through day to day, and do the essential things. But pull away from things that just spouts out, there's a lot of shows out there that spout fear every day, which seems to be overwhelming. It is overwhelming in fact, and they use a shotgun approach to things. They tell you 50 bad things that are scary things that are being considered by governments around the world, all at the same time, within an hour or two, and then you're left shattered afterwards, in a frenzy. That's the shotgun approach, scattergun approach were all these problems are whacked at you and not a single solution is given in the presentations. Which makes you wonder why they're doing it in the first place. [Alan scoffs.] But again, you've got to remember that a lot of what's called the patriot business *is a business* for those people. Don't forget that. Without what's happening in the world they would have no business, and that's how they do it.

Now, you must always pace yourself according to the news that's been given out there and don't become incredibly overwhelmed by it and put down by it until, as many people find, they can't communicate. I mean, it's very difficult to communicate to those around you, who are living in their day-to-day television series and the dramas and so on and their news. And you know why things are happening on the news even, of why it's being presented, something is being presented in some certain way, but you can't convey it to them. Just bite your tongue at times. It's not their fault. It's not their fault. Many folks' conditioning is so perfect, it has taken so well in them they can't understand what you're talking about. It terrifies them if you try and explain to them something that's happening. They always say, choose your battles. It's the same when you're choosing your

talks for conversation, *choose* them, know when to talk and when to listen. And you know, you don't have to get into some butting of heads like a couple of goats, you don't have to do that. It doesn't get them anywhere because they've already made their mind up, they're not going to... they *can't* believe you. If they did believe you they would crack up, you see. It fills them full of fear. Someone who's been brought up to think that, why would anybody, why would a system lie to me about so much? It fills them full of fear. It terrifies them.

So you have to choose your talks to people and conversations and know when to live inside your head. I've told so many folk to do that. But when it becomes overwhelming, pull back, you've got plenty inside your mind to think about. You don't have to listen every day, and this is part of the technique too of fear. There are those who put out fear, fear, fear, fear everyday... *because it becomes addictive*. I've mentioned this so many times before and folk should really use the archive section of cuttingthroughthematrix.com where I explain the techniques of horror movies and psychology. Even in the worst B-movie horror movie people will watch the beginning and say, oh God no. But the hook it's in you see, what's going to happen to so-and-so? And you project yourself as so-and-so the hero, or the victim, and as a girl, that's got to be the heroine or the victim. And the primitive part of your brain kicks in because the horror movies are about impending danger, life extinction, all that kind of thing. And you don't want to turn away because it works, a horror movie, it works just like a dream, that's how it works and is assessed, with *you* being chased or whatever and if you turn away or don't tune in you might miss something that's going to save *your* life. So you listen to the shows that spout bad, bad, bad, terrifying news, very scary, with all the music behind it... Whenever you hear music dramatizing someone's words, and it's going bang-bang-bang-bang, whatever the noise they're making at the time, these are psychological techniques to *intensify* fear. I hope you understand all that. And you've got to pull back, you see, switch the darned thing off. You find out no monster is going to get you at the end of the movie, because you're not going to watch it. And it's the same thing when you're hearing, tuning into shows every day that are petrifying you, don't do it. It will kill you. It will destroy you.

So that's a bit of advice for everybody. Because in this world today you have enough, enough natural bad stuff going on. A lot of you are struggling, we are all struggling actually financially. The economies are going down the tubes. China was made and set up to be, not by China but by the Western countries and their governments in collusion with the World Bank and the Bank for International Settlements, the World Trade Organization, all private institutions, and the GATT treaty and so on for trade and tariffs. We set up China as the commercial manufacturer of most things for the whole planet. In fact, we funded it through our tax money and so on, unbeknownst to the people themselves we funded it all. And they knew the impact of impoverishment and job losses of nonproducing countries, they knew that would happen. And they knew there would be depressions, economic depressions and mass unemployment and so on. That was all decided by our betters who often pretend they are elected by you and that they are there to serve you, which of course is never, in my life for sure, *ever* been the actual truth at all. That's the way it is. I'm not saying it's fair. It's unfair, of course it is. But there's nothing fair in this massive system of economics. There's nothing fair, never will be in an economic system set up the way it is and the way that we have experienced it for so long. It can't be fair. It's not designed to be. It doesn't want to be.

So when you're getting overwhelmed with things, pull back, switch off all the terrifying news, in fact *all* news for that matter. And get the things done that you must do. If you're unemployed try and get something to tide you over. It's imperative that you try. You don't have to like the job, just do it. Earn enough money and when it gives you time to look around for something else and so on that's a little bit better, and not so soul destroying as I call some jobs because a lot of them are definitely soul destroying, if they are repetitive especially. And take care of yourself. Get the imperative things taken care of first of all. And when you go back into it, looking out for what's happening in the world, you'll find that if you had some peace in you, you must have some peace there, then you can handle it much, much better. And don't go right back into the same routine of getting terrified every day, hour after hour, listening to certain things. Pull back, get the important things that are going on, and keep the basic view of what's going on, the basic view. You have to forget all of the rest of the extraneous stuff, get the basic view and that's all you need to know.

I've gone over the whole big world agenda for so long from documents put out by members of big organizations involved in globalism, and the boys involved in the culture industry who meet at global meetings as well on how to make sure, along with the educational systems and so on, they make sure they're bringing up the right kind of society to be manipulated by the system, which is going to get more and more intensive. These things have all been going on for well over 100 years now. And I've also gone through all the different organizations that work for the big changes that help the big international corporations continue. The big international corporations you'll find are sponsors of all the supposed civil liberty groups and so on out there and the big cultural change groups that are out there, and so on and so on.

So be very careful what you listen to. You don't have to listen to their whole spiel, you know what it's going to be and you know what spin they're going to take on before you start listening, and once you understand it, switch it off and start thinking for yourself. Because *you* must get through life. *You* must get through life yourself. It's imperative you take care of yourself.

Now, I've mentioned Bill C-51 in Canada, this Anti-Terrorism Act 2015 quite a few times and I'll just touch on this tonight to start off. I warned about it last time, what this could turn into. It's inevitable, in fact, that if it gets passed it will turn into, I think even if they don't pass it this time, we're already doing most of it anyway, it just gives them more right to do further things openly, I think. But anyway this says:

Bill C-51: What it is and controversy behind it

torontosun.com / Julia Alexander / March 18, 2015

What is Bill C-51?

According to the Government of Canada, Bill C-51, also known as the Anti-terrorism Act, 2015, was designed to, “encourage and facilitate information sharing between Government of Canada institutions in order to protect Canada against activities that undermine the security of Canada.”

The Conservative Party introduced the idea of increasing security provisions after the Parliament Hill shooting in October, but didn't formally introduce the act until January.

Simply put, the Stephen Harper government wants to allocate more power to police services and security institutions like the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) to keep a closer eye on potentially dangerous terrorism situations and prevent future attacks.

Why does the Harper government insist we need it? *(Alan: And this is from the Toronto Sun.)*

According to the act's official summary, Bill C-51 would ensure safer transportation services for Canadians, allow law enforcement to step in and arrest, without question, a person they suspect is about to carry out a terrorist attack, and it would increase the protection of witnesses who come forward with information on a potential terrorist attack.

(A: Now, you often find witnesses who come forward, or witnesses they've already grabbed, you see, who are already in jail awaiting some sentence, for maybe their part in something or knowing someone or whatever and being involved in something. And it's well known in this whole judicial system that we live in that they tend to, they can make things up to get out and get other people incriminated who have nothing to do with them whatsoever. That's fairly common in the criminal justice system and we hear about it all the time. And it would simply increase. Because every other country that was totalitarian have used the same techniques in the past, this is what happens, no one becomes safe at all, even folks they don't like, they will rat on them, and once you're on a list, you know, you can't really prove your innocence. Once you're on a list government does not, as people who are in government have told me, work very fast to correct mistakes, they don't do that. They're so slow, they go around corners on four square wheels. They lumber in other words. And once you're on a list your life can become absolute hell, absolute hell. Can you imagine each trip you would take in a vehicle, they would have your license plate, you have the automatic scanners now as you drive by and they pull you over just to harass you and question you and so on. Because the police themselves have already judged you as you're being... The judgment has been made for them that you are suspicious, you see, if you're on a list. And that's the sad truth of so-called civilization.)

Essentially, the government would increase its role in national security to keep a constant watchful eye on potentially harmful situations and end them before anyone is hurt or killed.

Why is it so controversial?

Civil liberty groups and other critics have claimed the bill stretches the definition of security to potentially include peaceful protests, further restricts freedom of expression, and raises privacy concerns, since the act would allow federal institutions such as Health Canada and Revenue Canada to share private information with the RCMP.

Critics have also expressed grave concerns that it fails to define terrorism clearly, *(A: And you can't because they're already broadening it to include many other things that are not what you would call terrorist related at all. And that's inevitable as well.)* and in attempting to remove all terrorist propaganda from the Internet will effectively try to censor freedom of expression on the Internet, violating a handful of online civil liberties. *(A: It will be more than a handful of civil liberties. Personal conversations on the phone or whatever, little comments you make, even jokes you make, it will all be taken awfully, awfully seriously. And it becomes a nightmare. That's the problem with this kind of system.)*

(A: So it was read a second time apparently before the standing committee. It was pushed through parliament fast.)

Where is Bill C-51 at?

Bill C-51 was formally introduced on Jan. 30, and since then has been rapidly pushed through Parliament.

On Feb. 23, the bill was passed and read a second time before being referred to the Standing Committee.

(A: I think it's got one more go then it will be into law.)

So as I say, these are like bad dreams because you know the history of other countries that have used techniques like this before in the past, and they are horrific. The stories that come out are horrific, how so many lives were utterly destroyed, destroyed by this kind of system that comes in when you have suspicion, suspicion.

Another article here is:

Why I Am Fighting Bill C-51

[huffingtonpost.ca / 03/20/2015 / ELIZABETH MAY](http://huffingtonpost.ca/03/20/2015/ELIZABETH_MAY)

The reaction to Bill C-51 has been widespread and the opposition is growing. While its short title is the "Anti-Terrorism Act," it is both more and less than that.

It is less than "anti-terrorism" because it is likely to make us less safe. The act gives new powers to CSIS to act in Canada and overseas to "reduce threats," with virtually no limits. CSIS is specifically not allowed to cause death or bodily harm or "violate the sexual integrity" of anyone. The range of potential activities -- from break and enter, search and seizure, infiltration, monkey-wrenching, include powers to offer witnesses immunity from prosecution or from ever having to testify. *(A: So some obscure witness can just say something about you, and that's you.)*

There is no requirement that CSIS tell the RCMP what it is up to *(A: That's the Royal Canadian Mounted Police.)*, and it is the RCMP that has been successfully countering plots and arresting suspects. Just imagine when the RCMP finds key witnesses have a "get out of jail free" card from CSIS. *(A:*

That's the Canadian Security Intelligence Service.) That and other sections run a high degree of probability of gumming up the works. Security experts, especially those with experience in the Air India inquiry, remind us that it is critical that security agencies not develop silos. C-51 takes a system that is currently working quite well and threatens to turn it into a three ring circus, without benefit of a ring-master.

It is also less than Canadians would expect, as there is nothing in C-51 to work against radicalization. No outreach efforts, nothing for the prison system or the schools as the U.K. government established in its new law passed in December 2014.

It is more than anti-terrorism, as the range of activities covered by a new and sweeping definition of "threats to the security of Canada" in the information sharing section of the bill covers far more than terrorism. It could plausibly cover just about anything, and certainly would cover those opposing pipelines and tankers. *(A: That's the enviro-groups and so on.)*

It is actually five bills rolled into one. Each part contains provisions I can only describe as dangerous. For example, part 5, amendments to the Immigration and Refugee Act, appear to allow the use of evidence obtained by torture. Part 3, ostensibly about getting terrorist propaganda off the Internet, uses a set of new concepts that would criminalize private conversations *(A: I mean, that's terrible, that.)* -- and not just about terrorism. *(A: Humph.)* The propaganda section does not require knowing you are spreading propaganda, and "terrorist propaganda" itself has a definition so broad as to include a visual representation promoting a new concept called "terrorism in general." Experts are now referring to this as "thought chill." *(A: ...thought chill.. Actually, it will be thought kill because it will kill... you'd better kill off any ability to think at all, I think.)*

As the first MP to oppose C-51, I now have a lot of company: four former prime ministers, six former Supreme Court justices, over 100 legal experts, Conrad Black, Rex Murphy, Tom Mulcair and the NDP, the editorial positions of the Globe and Mail, National Post and Toronto Star. The Assembly of First Nations has called for it to be withdrawn. I hope you agree as well. *(A: And that's in the Huffington Post.)*

But we're going down the route, the inevitable route, actually, of what happens when countries become more tyrannical and obsessional in a particular ideology of some kind. Today it's the need for antiterrorism and everyone is suspicious. Anyone who is a bit different at all will be put down as suspicious and possibly dangerous. Because if they don't know much about you then yeah, you could be dangerous, or you could be quite simply happy and not dangerous at all. It doesn't matter. See, facts don't matter anymore. And if you disagree with various things in government just by your opinions on things, that's maybe enough to get you into hot water, by having an opinion on something or an observation.

It's... Well, I've always said that something wicked this way comes, and this is repeated down through history as I've said before. And with today's technologies it makes it all the more easier to carry it all out. It's rather sad. It's rather, rather sad. But you know, I've mentioned different talks that I've given in the past about

how *science* itself impacts society. Very important thing to understand because people don't... People really don't... I think Jacques Ellul said, the people learn by osmosis. It's that they don't really reason or think things through from information from outside that comes to you. It just comes, it just sort of sinks in there and you don't question it or reason through it, or agree or disagree with a lot of it. And to do with technology, that's part of it because we've got to understand that a long time ago, not so long ago actually, really, most folk had to travel by horse or horse and cart. That's the ones that could afford the horses, lots of folk couldn't. Then for a long time, for instance, in the 20th century public transportation was the only way that a good part of the British people could move around, trains or mainly buses actually, to get to their work and all the rest of it.

Everything is geared towards an economic system. There's no way out of that allowed in the system, it doesn't allow that. Because you must earn money even to pay taxes. At one time people would become hobos and take to the road, and take their chances and maybe die, you know, in the winter and so on. But even that was a form of freedom and the right to choose that. But that's all been taken away too as they grabbed them off the streets on the various acts, vagrancy acts or mental health acts or whatever. And you can't even get that loophole out, to just escaped now and walk off and say I've given up on society. So you must earn money to buy the things, because in the system everything is based on money. You must buy things to feed yourself, clothe yourself, warmth, heat, shelter, clothing and so on, and pay taxes.

The whole system is a complete system and you are there to serve the system in actual fact. And that's how you're described in economic schools and so on, you're collateral, you see. You are a worker. You are a resource, a *human* resource. And they work out from statistics on your health and so on, and your past health, how long you might get in a working situation, a particular job, and contribute to society as they call it. That means, by the definition at the United Nations, YOU'RE A GOOD CONSUMER, so you've got the money to buy things, AND YOU'RE A GOOD PRODUCER. When you become *just* a consumer, when you retire, then you're really a bad citizen. And if you become unemployed, then you're bad, you're not contributing, you're not contributing taxes and so on and so on. Because government is so huge today, it's massive, and many of the big foundations out there also get tax money for all their nongovernmental organizations, which are funded by the foundations, they get tax money added to it. Because everything is shaped and geared to take you along a particular avenue of believing in the system and why they are doing things, environmentally or any other way, and it's all for your good, etc. etc.

So we're not just simply... I've always said that culture just doesn't develop anymore. It's always planned and shaped and controlled. And that's what it's all about, is massive control, right down to thought control, obviously. But when you introduce technology of any kind into society you're not riding around in the horse and cart anymore, or Shank's pony which is your legs of course, you're not walking. And you're into getting a vehicle, etc., and all that goes with it, your insurances, taxes, road taxes and so on, in order to get to and from work and all the rest of it. So that changed massively society, and it allowed folk for the first time to get out of these cramped cities into outer areas and travel into work as well and get a bit of freedom and peace from the crowded, crowded cities. That's all to change because the whole agenda for the 21st century, they want you back

in these crowded cities, for you lot. But they have a tiered system for those who are middle wealthy and very wealthy and so on, little perks along the way. Everything is based with economics on awards for those beneath.

So again, technology changes it all. So the vehicle itself changed society massively and eventually they want to take it all away from you again. And what they've replaced a lot of it with is something that helps all of the institutions, set up by governments and working with governments, and working with the economic system, to monitor you all and manage you even better, of course that's the Electronic Revolution that they had. Many years ago I read on the air articles about the big military industrial complex and how they were putting articles out in the papers and magazines, years ago, about if they come to the end of wars - they were already preparing as they were doing this, the big global wars for instance - they were going into security, domestic security systems, cameras, microphones and so on, and the computer and all the rest of it, even before they gave us the computer. And it's all come to pass. And of course there always lobbying government. Remember what the definition of lobbying and lobbyist happens to be. Because lobbying government, if you lobby government you're trying, a special interest group is trying to influence the decisions of government policy. And those decisions then are passed on, which alter your behavior, beneath the government of course, if they mandate you must have this, they mandate you must have that. And your police forces, everything that they mandate that they must have, are getting all these camera systems that are everywhere, tied into your computerized system in the cars and so on and so on. It's all interconnected. So technology itself alters your behavior.

When something is placed in your environment it alters your behavior. They knew that with the radio. When the radio came out the BBC used it immediately and it sprung up immediately to use it for propaganda. They used it widely for World War I. They found out they could alter people's behavior, by ensuring the radios were cheap enough to buy, and they could put them in workplaces, even in factories at times, and you would listen to the shows. And they gave you, they found that cliffhanger dramas, you were left with the cliffhanger at the end, welltune in tomorrow for the serial to find out what happens to the hero as she is hanging off the cliff, will he or won't he die? Folk would rush to their homes after work to hear the next episode. So it would alter human behavior. They knew it altered behavior with the use of advertising too, by promoting certain things people would change their dress codes, things like that, their hairdos, all of these things. Then when television came in, bang, that was really off to a big, big thing. Cinema was also used as well. There was tremendous war propaganda documentaries about how to get the people happy, happy, happy, the young folk who don't know any better to join up and go off to war. It was all put across very happy with lots of dancing and so on, and we are the youth and we're going to do it all. An actor dressed up in the uniforms, who were never in the military, telling them why *they* have to go off and fight, even though these actors were not going off to fight themselves.

This is management of the mind, you understand. So technology can massively, and always does, alter human behavior. By behavior too I also mean your thought, what you think about, how you think about things, why you think about things, and opinions you're led to conclude and they become your opinions. That's why there are so many neuroscientists and psychologists and behaviorists involved with all

these big companies that present movies, television, dramas, documentaries, things for government, documentaries and so on, right down to the management of the Internet, on behalf of the authorities. So everybody buys their personal computer, *oh, privacy is yours*, and all that nonsense, when they had no intention of that. It was for their benefit to categorize you with your personality style and so on. They said it was, first, for advertisers so that they would really find out how you tick and promote things to you. But of course it was really for all of those involved in it including government agencies and security agencies to personality profile you.

And they're constantly doing studies. They hand a lot of this data over, in fact, they give access to streams on the Internet to a lot of universities, like MIT and so on, to profile groups of people and find clusters of people, why you are in this little cluster, what you all have in common, what other things do you have in common, why is this? Massive psychological manipulation will be used on all of the people according to what they observe, you see, that's how precise and how deep all this goes and it works awfully, awfully well for them.

So this whole idea with Bill C-51 for Canada, and all the British Commonwealth countries have the same kind of bills, it's inevitable. They planned this years ago. They knew, before they gave you the Internet they would bring out all these different bills under different guises at the right times and so on, to manage. Because the first thing the government must do, the first job that it has, is *to preserve itself*. And itself means also the whole institution, not just the government but the system of economics that you're born into. So be very careful when you're thinking about getting this and getting that because it's, oh it's so convenient. It will be used on you, that's the prime purpose of it. The second purpose is to condition you as well and bring you to the correct opinions and observations and all the rest of it. And also to make you think that privacy is a quaint old-fashioned idea. Many folk already do. And you don't understand that people fought for centuries over things such as privacy, to *have* privacy in the first place. When you lose that you have no security. And when you have no privacy of your mind it's all over, it's finished, forget it, throw in the towel.

So I tell people try and reclaim your mind, if you want to. Many folk don't want to. They're happy. I've read articles by the big global planners before where they have said that many people will love their servitude. And they do. If they're doing okay financially and so on and they are young enough to feel healthy and they can party and all the rest of it, they love it, they love the system. They're okay. I'm okay, Jack. You know, I'm all right Jack, as they say. So that's the way it is. Everyone has been divided into different categories. So don't expect, you know. Don't expect other people to simply jump on board and start questioning things. And don't get angry with them when they can't or won't. You can't get angry and ostracize yourself completely. You got to live amongst the world of people, and it takes all kinds of people, you see. And the conflict doesn't help, at all.

Now, talking about how technology changes our whole way of behavior. I've mentioned so many times about the fact that they've known for an awful long time, even from World War I when they took photographs of the troops in the trenches, the troops would behave differently. They would walk differently, their stances would be different when they knew they were being filmed. You might have experienced that yourself when someone's taking a photograph of you, you don't

stand normally or relax or whatever. You'll stand in a different pose or whatever because you're being filmed.

Well, they also know that when you're being filmed in the streets by CCTV cameras then your behavior is changed too. The spontaneity of how you would joke with your pals, it goes, it disappears. Because you're being watched. So you learn to self-police yourself, that becomes second nature. Wherever you go you're being watched and you become more, apparently more into yourself, introverted, you see, and they know this at the top. They want to encourage people to self-police themselves, the way that George Orwell's **1984** portrayed Winston with that bland expression of his, because an expression could give you away as being a subversive, or a thoughtful person, which is the same thing.

Think about this. Here's an article here, right along this inevitable path as I say. It says:

**‘CCTV in all homes’:
Police chief’s domestic security call attacked by privacy
groups**

rt.com / March 09, 2015 / Reuters/Soeren Stache

(A: Now, they've already tried this in Britain, I know that, in certain areas. In fact, they used it for people who were suspected, supposedly, years ago, of abnormal behavior in the home. They might be kind of loud with each other, whatever it was, someone would report them. In would come the authorities and the social workers and so on and then they put cameras up and watched them. It says here:)

Britain's most senior police officer has urged families and business owners to install hidden eye-level CCTV cameras to make it easier to identify burglars. (A: Right.) Privacy rights groups say it will make the public "an extension of the police."

Metropolitan Police Commissioner Sir Bernard Hogan-Howe (A: They love these hyphens, eh; there's a story behind that too but that's a different story.) encouraged property owners to make a "stronger effort" to help with criminal investigations.

(A: Now, I wonder who's been lobbying him as to... You don't think that the guys at the top of these things... Yeah, they'll get lobbyists, you know, and the lobbyists always help them on their career path and they also help them with funding and so on, things that they're never going to admit to. It's always been that way.)

Families and business owners should plant two CCTV cameras in their homes, Howe says, ideally one high camera to capture the full crime scene and another eye-level camera to capture clear images of criminals' faces. (A: Well remember, everyone under the modern law system, then the training of the police, puts everyone down as a potential criminal. That's you, too, that are sitting in your own home.)

Those who are only able to install one camera must ensure it is at eye-level. Howe claims this is the “most important angle.” He said this strategy would make it easier for police to identify criminals.

However, privacy campaigners have condemned the strategy, claiming it will turn the public into “an extension of the police.” (A: *Well that's... It's beyond just that, folks. I love how they give you these, these little canards... an extension of the police. It's beyond that. It will alter your complete behavior if there's cameras in the home. You won't be spontaneous. You won't be so happy and joking and all that. Jokes can be dangerous now, you know.*)

Few British households already have CCTV cameras installed, and the minority that do “position them too high.” (A: *[Alan laughing.]*)

“Over the last year as facial recognition software has got better, we can apply the software to the images of burglaries or robberies and we can compare those images with the images we take when we arrest people,” Howe told LBC radio. (A: *And it goes on and on and on.*)

But I mean, these are the little articles here meant to make you, and a lot of folk will, who are conditioned, *well we should do that, yeah, yeah, yeah*. And this is how... They don't question all the dangers to it all, at all, it doesn't enter their heads.

Many of the talks I've given in the past I've gone through a lot of the history of this, and even the history of the Psychiatric Association, that is not just involved in psychiatry, believe it or not. There was a big political push at the beginning to create a psychiatric professional movement, with the intention eventually of running the world. Science, that's themselves at the top basically, they would determine who should be in power; a good idea actually but for the wrong reasons. They wanted to test all politicians or people who ran for politics and so on to see if they were sane enough to do it. Now, if any group had that particular power then they would of course abuse it right away. Because what is their definition of someone who is sane enough to use it? If you didn't have the same attitudes as the psychiatric majority, who would have their own votes on particular topics, then you wouldn't get in. On the other hand, I personally do believe that anyone with any kind of power over the public, right down to the policeman, should be tested for psychopathy. I really believe that. I really, really do believe that. And that goes for any government worker who's involved in your taxation and all the other things that they do, and anybody who's in charge of housing and so many different fields, should be tested for psychopathy. In this system it's mainly psychopaths who get up in charge of things, because they're very aggressive, they take the risks, the chances, incredibly manipulative, and they can dispose of all competition as they claw their way up too, without a thought, because they have no conscience.

But here's where they're going to go, according to the last article for instance, where the police just want you to have cameras, to catch burglars, it says. Well, here's the other part of it, which falls in naturally. It says:

ACLU Lawsuit Seeks Data on TSA's Creepy "Behavior Detection" Program

motherjones.com / Allie Gross / Mar. 23, 2015

(A: Now, that's the transportation safety agency. This is the one that's the big one in the States that they created, which is crazy, there's so many agencies tripping over each other. But this says:)

Airport security basically sucks: Being herded through a Tensabarrier maze alongside a bunch of strangers is vexing enough. Then throw in the bag searches, the bomb-swabs, the mandatory doffing and donning of footwear and accessories, the "complimentary" pat down—it's hardly surprising that some people will come through the experience looking less than cheerful.

Nowadays, though, your very reasonable travel emotions (anxiety, stress, fear, despondency) can earn you even more face time with the Transportation Security Administration. Since 2003 TSA has toyed with the idea of placing "behavior detection officers" in airports across America (*A: They're not toying with it, they've put them in already, I've read the articles in the past.*)—part of a \$1 billion counter-terrorism measure known as SPOT (Screening Passengers by Observation Techniques), which officially launched in 2007. (*A: And they have computer programs too, that were invented by other psychopaths, who would immediately dive into where they see their prospects of advancements and in their paychecks and so on.*)

"Our request and the lawsuit is to determine if TSA can adequately defend SPOT."

The behavior detection officer's job is to scan travelers' faces for micro-expressions—facial movements that come and go in the blink of an eye and are said to convey a person's true emotions. When one of these airport mentalists (*A: ...and that's really what it's down to, isn't it?*) spots a potentially shifty character, he can take it to the next level by striking up a casual conversation—the idea being that brief encounters may suggest whether a person poses a threat.

Signs of "anti-social" behavior (see emotions above) may result in a traveler being flagged for additional security measures. Between 2004 and 2008, as my former colleague Ben Buchwalter has reported, 152,000 people were flagged but fewer than 1,110 were arrested. Most of the arrestees "were undocumented aliens, had outstanding warrants, or were carrying fake documents or drugs." (*A: So other reasons caught them, you see. So out of the 152,000 people, really, that were flagged for facial expressions, all they got were folk who gave themselves away by fake documents or they had drugs on them or something else or warrants.*)

Meanwhile, the SPOT program, which relies heavily on officers' subjective observations, has been compared to the "stop-and-frisk" police tactics that have resulted—at least in New York City—in a disproportionate number of stops of blacks and Latinos. In an anonymous complaint obtained by the New York Times, one Boston TSA officer wrote that "the behavior detection program is no longer a behavior-based program, but it is a racial profiling program." The Times interviewed other TSA officers who corroborated the claim.

All you would have to do is take the same program, and they're already doing this by the way, and putting facial expressions into your homes, like the article we just talked about, CCTV cameras in all of your homes. And then of course, *why were you irritated Mr. so-and-so when you were watching TV, and this is being presented, why were you, you had a frowned expression on your face?* Will they take you in for reconditioning and reeducation? Like, a camp there that they'll take you into? This is all inevitable, folks. And all the programs that are out there, and again, through lobbyists companies and so on to push all this on government, it's fascist. When governments and lobbyists work together, that technically is fascist, big corporations involved, for financial gain, to get their products put through lawfully, into law, to be used in homes and things like that, then that's it, it's over.

Then this article here, it says:

Are smartphones making our children mentally ill?

telegraph.co.uk / Peter Stanford / 21 Mar 2015

(A: [Alan scoffs.] There's a form of doublespeak in the article, it says:)

Julie Lynn Evans has been a child psychotherapist for 25 years, working in hospitals, schools and with families, (A: ...*blah, blah, blah.*) and she says she has never been so busy.

"In the 1990s, I would have had one or two attempted suicides a year - mainly teenaged girls taking overdoses, the things that don't get reported. Now, I could have as many as four a month."

Now, what she's mainly saying is, their cell phones. In fact, they can look into all kinds of things without parental consent, that are causing the problem. Society today is broken. Families are broken. There's been a war on the family structure for an awful long time to break it up. And the fallout is phenomenal, it's not just the phones, folks. And the push in this article, really, when it gets down to it, is for putting more resources into mental health services, which is a big United Nations mandate, has been for many years, to get every child from birth right through into adulthood checked constantly for mental health. And that includes having the right attitudes, that are pushed out by the top, that you must accept and must parrot. If you don't parrot it you're mentally ill.

So you'd better be careful the things you actually watch and read yourselves. You must always dissect everything and look at it from different sides, different angles. So as I said, remember, very early psychiatry's objective was to evaluate everyone in society eventually and help run society, including the politics and everything else. That hasn't stopped. So when you see articles like that be very, very careful, it's still the same mandate that's on the go. Rather than look at all the things, all the factors that go into destroying all of society, pushed steadily since at least the 60s, actually before that but steadily since the 60s, until you have dysfunctional everything, how many folk are really functional in any direction at all these days? Be very, very careful. But they don't go into checking themselves, who's watching the watchers? Who's doing the diagnosis on the psychiatrist? Many of them, too, are psychopathic by the way.

Now, here's an article here that ties in with, again, how technology changes society, not just those who use them but those who see the potential for using it on you. And there have been many articles out before about schools using apps and putting them into the different...again, mandating you buy their computer or whatever or even giving you them from the school, and they can then tap in any time they want, any teacher can, and watch what you're doing, and actually watch and hear what you're doing as well. And even seeing you undressing in your bedroom, a lot of these scandals are dying down by now, because folk are being acclimatized to those as though it's all quite normal. But this article here says:

Behavioral Observation of Students in Schools (BOSS)

pearsonclinical.com / Edward S. Shapiro, PhD

The time-tested BOSS direct observation program is now available as a smart phone application, making it easier than ever for you (*A: ...this is for teachers.*) to monitor students' behavior. Backed by extensive research, the BOSS system provides reliable and valid data on specific problem areas—so that you can determine appropriate remediations to help students succeed. (*A: So it's all to help students succeed, by you observing them and sneaking and watching them.*)

Features & Benefits

Take advantage of this new app to help easily pinpoint areas for behavior remediation. Use this intuitive tool (*A: ...it's intuitive, right...*) with grades pre-K through 12 to assist you in:

- Recording and tracking frequency of targeted positive and negative behaviors. (*A: Again, these are all value judgments by the teacher, who might not like the student.*)
- Documenting a student's active or passive engagement in activities.
- Tabulating data and emailing it to you for future use to help support a disability diagnoses. (*A: Who's doing the diagnosing here?*)

(*A: And it tells you how to order this BOSS program, BOSS app and so on.*)

To download the BOSS app, visit the Apple® or Android™ app store

(*A: Then it's got training, they have all these different training tools, products.*))

Training

Pre-recorded Webinars

Conducting Systematic Behavioral Observations in Schools: Using BOSS App for iPhone and Android Webinar Recording

A brief discussion of the basic concepts of systematic direct observation will first be presented. A full explanation of the BOSS code, its categories and methods of data collection will be provided with an emphasis highlighting the use of the BOSS App available for iPhone and Android.

(*A: Then it says:*)

You May Also Like:

Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales, Second Edition

Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS) Rating Scales

(A: Social skills, is that what schools are there for, to teach you different social skills? Well, they're always upgrading the politically correct social skills, right, and so on, so that's really an order one. But here's an article here and it says:)

Orwellian nightmare unleashed on schoolkids

Teachers now thought police with extreme new spy 'tools'
mobile.wnd.com / 03/15/2015 / Leo Hohmann

(A: Remember, this fits in with the GIRFEC in Britain and everything else; there's many ways to monitor you and guide you from birth to death now. With the GIRFEC in Scotland they put a government-sponsored person, an agent really, who must come into your home, you must let them in, from about the age of two months onwards. And they get into all your business, the parental business, everything else, and to make sure that that child is getting the right kind of indoctrination, it doesn't have any particular dislikes of any people or anything else and so on and so on, so they can always adjust you as you grow up.)

Technology is increasingly being used by schools to gather data on students, testing not just their knowledge of subjects like reading, math and science but subjective "social skills." *(A: Social skills.)*

Parents and students have been "opting out" of high-stakes testing in record numbers over the past year, saying the standardized tests waste valuable instruction time, cause undue stress and often measure "skills" that have nothing to do with academic knowledge.

Rather than merely asking for a right or wrong answer to a math, history or science question, *(A: Oh, that's old fashioned stuff now, that's passé.)* the new assessment industry is capable of boring into a child's attitudes, values, opinions and beliefs, all of which parents and privacy advocates say is no business of the government's. *(A: And that's absolutely true. All of the things that they're going to pry into make you you, and it's your right to be you.)*

The pushback has led some state education systems to recommend a reduction in the amount of high-stakes testing in public schools.

But, parents beware, the sudden realization that maybe too much testing is going on is not going to lead to less data being collected. Quite the opposite.

In fact, traditional testing may no longer be needed. Schools have found they have better, more efficient ways to collect even more data on your child, without resorting to paper and sharpened No. 2 pencils.

Oregon's Gov. John Kitzhaber, for instance, assigned a task force to this problem recently and after a year of private meetings, the group is ready to unveil its recommendations which are expected to include replacing standardized tests with high-tech "observation" tools. *(A: And I'll put all these links up, remember, tonight at cuttingthroughthematix.com. And the link is in here for all that, the high tech observation tools.)*

Fewer tests might sound like a relief to stressed-out students and wary parents.

But what if your child's teacher could have access to a software application that allows her to collect data on your child in real time, without ever being rolled out in a test?

Enter the BOSS app. It is just one of countless new data-collection products available to school systems looking to collect data on the sneak.

BOSS stands for Behavioral Observation of Students in Schools. *(A: You can do it out of school too, though.)* The app was designed to "enable psychologists to observe" patients but is now being marketed to schools interesting in tracking students' behavioral patterns.

Created by the British-based textbook giant Pearson, the BOSS app can be loaded onto a smartphone and used to secretly monitor every move of targeted students in the classroom. *(A: What about out of the classroom?)*

Does little Johnny fidget in his seat a bit too much? Does he socialize with the students around him in an appropriate manner? Does he tend to stare aimlessly out the window when he should be paying attention to the teacher?

All of this information can be pulled in and stored in an individual dossier for later analyzing and assigned an intervention and remediation that will deal with Johnny's shortcomings, whether they be laziness, lack of assertiveness, over-aggressiveness or whatever psychological problem the app may discover. *(A: Of course, they will all be infallible, right? [Alan chuckles.]*

BOSS app can be downloaded from iTunes for \$29.99 and comes in age-appropriate versions from pre-K through 12th grade. *(A: So this app, really, it's quite something. It's beyond many of the other ones that are already out there. But again, it's not the only one out there too.)*

The BOSS app is not the only new technology percolating in the education industry that has the ability to invisibly assess students in real time without their knowledge, or the knowledge of their parents. *(A: Because parents don't matter anymore. The state owns the children; they own us actually.)*

Below is a small sampling of other apps being marketed to educators:

(A: And here's the info and it says:)

You Can Handle Them All app• "You Can Handle Them All": This app, produced by Master Teacher *(A: That's another one.)*, describes 124

behaviors that teachers may encounter in their students and identifies the primary cause of each. (A: *Oh, like they just know now it, hey. There could be many factors involved.*) A teacher using this app places each student into a category, with options that include “The Blabbermouth,” “The Blurter,” “The Boss,” “The Bully,” “The Complainer,” “The Disengaged” and “The Class Clown.” (A: *How about “The Labeler”? That’s for the teacher.*) It then prompts the teacher with suggestions on how to remedy each unwanted behavior.

- “Pearson Dash”: Another product by Pearson, Dash, according to the iTunes product description, enables teachers to “Organize and track your students” according to classroom seating charts, to “record, edit, and e-mail observational notes on your students,” to “View student performance and mastery of skills with SuccessTracker data.”

- “What Would You Do at School If”: This app focuses squarely on social skills. Put out by Super Duper Publications, it seeks to elicit answers to sensitive, revealing questions that help schools develop a psychological profile on each student. Instead of a test, this app is presented to a young child as a fun “game” (A: *That’s much the same as how it’s presented to adults, they don’t even know they’re being monitored and checked and so on. It’s fun, isn’t it?*) while collecting data on the child’s parental upbringing and personality.

(A: *So it’s an invasion into privacy, of many areas too, but they won’t push that so much.*)

what would you do in school if “Select the cards you want students to see, and have them work on solving problems and practicing good social skills (A: *Now, who has said what good social skills happen to be? It changes according to the Masters of the era.*) as they discuss situations in and around school,” the product description says. “The prompts include questions like, ‘What would you do if ... you forgot your homework?’ and, ‘What would you do if ... your classmate teased you about the new shirt you wore?’”

Students are then graded based on how many “right” answers (A: *Now, what’s a right answer?*) they give in what is clearly a test of one’s attitudes, behaviors, values and beliefs. (A: *Well, that’s why they designed it that way, you’re not allowed certain attitudes, behaviors and values and beliefs anymore. Birth to death, reconditioning, reconditioning, reeducation, way beyond the old Soviet reeducation camps.*) The problem with such questions, say privacy experts, is that the “right” answer is clearly subjective and has nothing to do with a student’s ability to acquire and retain objective academic knowledge. (A: *But most schooling has nothing to do with academic knowledge anymore, it’s social engineering.*)

For instance, a parent may teach a boy to defend a weaker boy or a girl who is getting beat up by a bully. But what if the “right” answer in the role playing game is to go and tell a teacher or principal? Will the child get marked down if he answers that he would intervene and physically stop the bully’s attack? What will the remediation for this “wrong” behavioral skill be? (A: *What will the remediation be? Another thing you might say too, supposing that little*

boy that's being attacked is beat up or killed, when he's off reporting it to the teacher. It would be another case to see, well should he have gone and helped break it up first, because that would apply to a human adult.)

According to promotional details on iTunes, the “What Would You Do at School If” app lets teachers:

- Track correct and incorrect responses for an unlimited number of players.
- Receive feedback for incorrect and/or correct responses
- View results in a graph and see which questions a player missed during a session.
- Print, E-mail and share your results. (*A: With whom? Mental health authorities, agencies, whatever.*)

The same vendor, Super Duper Publications, puts out a separate app called “Super Duper Data Tracker” that allows teachers to “increase the accuracy and efficiency of your data collection” on each individual student.

There are literally dozens of these apps out there being downloaded by teachers, often at the behest of administrators, (*A: Well, they're all getting lobbied, right, and there's money that changes hands, believe you me.*) and many of them come tailored to the Common Core national education standards. (*A: That's just coincidence, right?*)

One teacher who reviewed the Super Duper Data Tracker on iTunes said he liked it but wished the data came with increased portability and could be more easily integrated into other platforms.

“It would also be great if this was tied to a website where teachers had an account and could input large amounts of data on something other than the small screen or tempermental (sic) keyboard of an iPad/iPhone,” the teacher said. “Then everything would be backed up, we could share data with other team members (especially in situations where many people see one student!). It is a great app, I just think in the day of icloud and spreadsheets it is begging for a big overhaul.”

Well, that will come regardless because eventually their opinions will overcome any other reason of course, because it's already happening. And little Johnny will be reconditioned his whole life long into being the proper kind of citizen that's been designed by the state.

Now, I've given talks in the past. As I've said, they're all in the archives section of cuttingthroughthematrix.com. You can find talks on the big world players who helped create this presents culture, many of whom are long dead, because culture is always created, the future is always planned, folks, and who worked at the United Nations and with United Nations, with the big psychological associations, psychiatric Association so on. I've given you all the different statements, even the books they put out, they're all in there, where they said that they would bring the situation in where you would get trained from birth to death. So all this isn't just

happening spontaneously because of technology. It's *time* for it to get put forth. And many of them, and Bertrand Russell said it too, governments would be unable to resist the temptation to use all these methods to bring up a kind of society that the government itself desires. And it can be *any* kind of government.

Now, as I've said before, that's just the way it is, folks, and to get back to what I said earlier in the talk, you must proof your mind. You must proof it yourself, and guard your mind because you are you. Everything in you, your opinions and so on, all these different composites of you, that makes you *you*. That's the natural person. We don't want to go into stereotypes, like bricks in the wall on a conveyor belt getting stamped in the same shape and so on. But the big boys at the top don't want you to have different viewpoints and so on which are contrary, and are sometimes a nuisance to different government agendas. And then you do become an enemy of the state. And at the very least you become, get put into a label, pigeonholed, and they have many definitions and terms that they use for categories of humans in society. We're all monitored, believe you me. It doesn't matter if you're doing radio shows or not, or blogs or anything else, it doesn't matter, you're all getting monitored.

The folk who don't like what's happening are already called, and don't belong to any clubs or agencies or groups that are protesting. They're called unaffiliated subversives on the grounds that they don't like certain things that are happening in society today. They're not politically correct. They don't adopt the updates you're given on what you should believe in, what you should think of certain things and topics and so on.

But anyway, don't let this destroy you or flatten you. Don't let it happen, folks, because when that happens and you all just cave-in, you're as well as just saying, here's my brain do what you want with it. And that's not what life is all about, is it?

The ones at the top have their own definition of progress. But *true* progress has been freeing up people, from all kinds of slavery, by bullies and authorities of all kinds down through the ages. And we have the brief little piece of it where we have certain rights and so on, and now it's all to be taken away, again. And you can't let that happen for any reason given to you by government. You can't go backwards.

And for those, as I say, who are being overwhelmed by information, *switch off for a while*. You don't have to tune into the horror story to see how it ends, you're not going to die tomorrow, whether you tune in or not. You must survive. You must look after your own mind. It's all you have. And I've often said, the mind has no firewall, be careful what you let in there. Even that which seems to expose stuff, don't let it destroy you. And question the things that you think are destroying you. Be very, very careful. Because you do matter. You all matter.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[Bill C-51: What it is and controversy behind it](#)

[Why I Am Fighting Bill C-51](#)

['CCTV in all homes': Police chief's domestic security call attacked by privacy groups](#)

[ACLU Lawsuit Seeks Data on TSA's Creepy "Behavior Detection" Program](#)

[Are smartphones making our children mentally ill?](#)

[Behavioral Observation of Students in Schools \(BOSS\)](#)

[BOSS User's Guide](#)

[Orwellian nightmare unleashed on schoolkids](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <u>BOOKS</u> | "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 | Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." (<u>Español</u>) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (<u>Português</u>) |
| <u>CDs</u> | Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) | & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc) |
| <u>DVDs</u> | "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME" | |